

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,589	10/31/2003	Jonathan Kagan	VALTX.002A	1885
20995 7:	590 12/13/2004		EXAM	INER
KNOBBE MA 2040 MAIN ST	ARTENS OLSON &	JACKSON, SUZ	ZETTE JAMIE	
FOURTEENT			ART UNIT ·	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE, CA	92614		3738	

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/699,589	KAGAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Suzette J Gherbi	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 October 2003</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.	4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6</u> is/are rejected.	6) Claim(s) 1-4 and 6 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	~				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/17/04: 4/30/04.</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ite atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/699,589 Page 2

Art Unit: 3738

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

1. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not). Misnumbered claim (repeated claim 5) been renumbered 6.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-2, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gannoe et al. 2004/0082963. Gannoe et al. discloses the invention as currently claimed noting figures 5E-6D comprising: An apparatus for achieving weight loss in a patient comprising an artificial stoma device (TR, ST) sized and configured to be

Application/Control Number: 10/699,589 Page 3

Art Unit: 3738

installed in a stomach of a patient and a gastrointestinal sleeve (113) connected to the artificial stoma device, the gastrointestinal sleeve sized and configured to extend from the artificial stoma device through the stomach and into the intestines of the patient.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3-4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gannoe et al. in view of Crabb. Gannoe et al. has been disclosed above however Gannoe et al. does not specify the stoma ring is adjustable or self- adjustable. Crabb teaches a device for controlling obesity with adjustable rings (32, 34 see col. 4, lines 35-48 wherein collapsible equates to adjustable and wherein the ring deforms and returns to its original shape.) and a sleeve attached to the rings (20). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to take the invention of Gannoe et al. and utilize the adjustable/collapsible rings as taught by Crabb because both inventions provide a regulation of food for the treatment of obesity and is merely a change in positioning and sizing of the device as the structure are the same.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,589 Page 4

Art Unit: 3738

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Woo 2004/0133147; Deem et al. 2003/0109892; and Sangouad et al. 6,409,656 show related material.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suzette J. Jackson whose work schedule is Monday-Friday 9-6:30 off every other Friday and whose telephone number is 571-272-4751.
- 9. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3738

10. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

09 December 2004